

**FORT BEND COUNTY  
DRAINAGE DISTRICT**

**FORT BEND COUNTY, TEXAS  
Financial Report**

**September 30, 2016**



**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page(s)</u></b>
<b><u>INTRODUCTORY SECTION</u></b>	
<b>Letter of Transmittal</b>	i-iii
<b><u>FINANCIAL SECTION</u></b>	
<b>Independent Auditors' Report</b>	1-2
<b>Management's Discussion and Analysis</b>	3-6
<b>Basic Financial Statements</b>	
Government-wide Financial Statements:	
Statement of Net Position	8
Statement of Activities	9
Fund Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Fund	10
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance - Governmental Fund	11
Notes to Financial Statements	12-27
<b>Required Supplementary Information</b>	
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Budget and Actual – General Fund - Budgetary Basis	30
Notes to Budgetary Required Supplementary Information	31
Texas County and District Retirement System – Pension Required Supplementary Information	32
Notes to Pension Required Supplementary Information	33
<b><u>TEXAS SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION</u></b>	
General Fund Expenditures	36
Taxes Levied and Receivable	37
Comparative Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures - General Fund	38-39
Board Members, Key Personnel, and Consultants	40
<b>Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting</b>	41-42



## COUNTY AUDITOR

Fort Bend County, Texas

**Robert Ed Sturdivant**  
County Auditor

281-341-3769, 281-341-3744 (fax)  
Ed.Sturdivant@fortbendcountytx.gov

March 29, 2017

To the Board of Directors of the Fort Bend County Drainage District, Members of the Commissioners Court, and Citizens of Fort Bend County, Texas:

The Fort Bend County Auditor's Office is pleased to present the basic financial statements of the Fort Bend County Drainage District (the "District"), a component unit of Fort Bend County, Texas (the "County"), for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016. This report is submitted in accordance with Section 114.025 of the Texas Local Government Code and was prepared by the staff of the County Auditor's Office.

Management assumes full responsibility for the completeness and reliability of the information contained in this report, based upon a comprehensive framework of internal control that it has established for this purpose. Because the cost of internal control should not exceed anticipated benefits, the objective is to provide reasonable, rather than absolute, assurance that the financial statements are free of any material misstatements.

Whitley Penn has issued an unmodified ("clean") opinion on the District's financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2016. The independent auditors' report is located at the front of the financial section of this report.

Management's discussion and analysis ("MD&A") immediately follows the independent auditors' report and provides a narrative introduction, overview, and analysis of the basic financial statements. MD&A complements this letter of transmittal and should be read in conjunction with it.

### **Profile of the District**

The District was established under Section 59 of Article XVI of the Constitution of Texas, and includes all of the property within Fort Bend County. It was created for the purpose of reclamation and drainage of its lands. The Fort Bend County Commissioners Court acts as the governing body of the District.

### **Local Economy**

Fort Bend County experienced a slight upturn in the local economy for fiscal year 2016. This is evident by a modest increase in new home sales, labor force, and employment rate. The demand for services regarding the governmental functions performed by the County continues to increase at a greater rate. The Commissioners Court and the District continue to use a conservative approach to the allocation of resources to serve the County's needs to ensure that Fort Bend County is prepared as the local economy improves. This ongoing conservative approach will allow the County and the District to meet the service

demands of the residents in Fort Bend County.

## **Long-Term Financial Planning and Relevant Financial Policies**

### *Budget*

The District adopts a one-year budget through its fully coordinated financial planning process. The budget implements strategies, both financial and operational, identified through the strategic and long-range planning process to meet existing challenges and to effectively plan for future needs. The budget is a financial plan for a fiscal year of operations that matches all planned revenues and expenditures with the services provided the citizens of Fort Bend County based on the established budget policy. Decisions are not based solely on current conditions but on the long-term welfare of the community. The budget is developed and resources allocated based on the vision, mission, and goals of the District and County.

### *Long-Term Comprehensive Plan*

The District works with local governments and land developments to address drainage and flood control challenges within Fort Bend County in order to protect people, property and the environment.

### *Capital Improvement Program*

Capital Improvement Projects are larger projects that focus on restoring or improving drainage as well as mitigating flooding. These projects represent a substantial investment of public funds and must pass a rigorous review before construction begins. Projects are first evaluated to ensure they meet technical criteria. Projects are then reviewed using criteria developed specifically for the District. The District's criteria support projects that:

- Promote safety and health of the public
- Reduce or mitigate impacts related to flooding
- Promote/improve habitat to support healthy watersheds
- Promote/improve water quality
- Promote economic health of the County
- Implement all, or a part of, a watershed plan
- Assist the County in meeting federal or state requirements

### *Debt Policy*

The purpose of the County's Debt Policy (which includes the District) is to establish guidelines for the utilization of debt instruments issued by the County and the District whether payable from County taxes or payable from certain revenues of the County or District.

## **Major Initiatives**

The District is focused on allowing development to continue within the County, while maintaining, or reducing, flood risks. The District's development guidelines require individual developments to mitigate impacts to existing floodplains as well as maintain/improve drainage in their vicinity. The District also upgrades existing drainage systems to reduce flood risks. These initiatives include:

- Development of regional detention facilities
- Provide drainage capacity within channels with reimbursements requirements for developments
- Improve major drainage channels for flood risk reduction

## **Acknowledgements**

The preparation of this report could not be achieved without the efficient and dedicated services of the staff of the County Auditor's Office and Whitley Penn, our independent auditor.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Robert E. Sturdivant". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large loop at the end.

Robert E. Sturdivant, CPA  
County Auditor  
Fort Bend County, Texas

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Directors  
Fort Bend County Drainage District  
Fort Bend County, Texas

### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities and the major fund of Fort Bend County Drainage District, (the "District") a component unit of Fort Bend County, Texas as of and for the year ended September 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### ***Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements***

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### ***Auditor's Responsibility***

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### ***Opinions***

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position governmental activities and the major fund of the District, as of September 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position, thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

To the Board of Directors  
Fort Bend County Drainage District  
Fort Bend County, Texas

***Other Matters***

***Required Supplementary Information***

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis on pages 3 through 6 and other required supplementary information, as listed in the table of contents, on pages 30 through 33 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

***Other Information***

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The introductory section and Texas Supplementary Information ("TSI") section are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The TSI has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

The introductory section has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

***Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards***

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated March 29, 2017, on our consideration of the District's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

*Whitley Penn LLP*

Houston, Texas  
March 29, 2017



## **FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**

### ***MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS***

As management of the Fort Bend County Drainage District (the "District"), we offer readers of the District's financial statements this narrative overview and analysis of the financial activities of the District for the year ended September 30, 2016.

#### **Financial Highlights**

- The assets and deferred outflows of the District exceeded its liabilities and deferred inflows at the close of the most recent fiscal year by \$33,101,052 (net position). Of this amount, there is a deficit of \$1,953,502 in unrestricted net position due to the continuing liability increase for other post-employment benefits ("OPEB") that now totals \$10,994,472.
- At the close of the current fiscal year, the District's General Fund reported a fund balance of \$9,462,031, an increase of \$2,165,911 from the prior fiscal year.

#### **Overview of the Financial Statements**

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the District's basic financial statements. The District's basic financial statements include three components: 1) government-wide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the financial statements.

#### ***Government-Wide Financial Statements***

The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the District's finances, in a manner similar to a private-sector business.

The *Statement of Net Position* presents financial information on all of the District's assets, liabilities, and deferred inflows/outflows, with the difference reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the District is improving or deteriorating.

The *Statement of Activities* presents information showing how the District's net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods.

The government-wide financial statements report on the function of the District that is principally supported by general revenues.

The government-wide financial statements can be found on pages 8 and 9 of this report.

#### ***Fund Financial Statements***

A *fund* is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The District, like other state and local governments, uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal requirements. The District maintains a general fund on the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance.

The General Fund is a governmental fund used to account for essentially the same function reported as *governmental activities* in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-

wide financial statements, governmental fund financial statements focus on *near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources*, as well as on *balances of spendable resources* available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a government's near-term financing requirements.

Because the focus of the General Fund is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented in the General Fund with similar information presented for *governmental activities* in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financial decisions. Both the governmental fund balance sheet and the governmental fund statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between the governmental fund and *governmental activities*.

The basic governmental fund financial statements can be found on pages 10 and 11 of this report.

### ***Notes to the Financial Statements***

The notes to the financial statements provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes to the financial statements can be found immediately following the governmental fund financial statements in this report.

### **Government-Wide Financial Analysis**

Net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of the District, assets exceeded liabilities by as of September 30, 2016. Below is a condensed schedule of net position for the District as of September 30, 2016 and 2015:

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>
Current assets	\$ 10,260,561	\$ 8,544,522
Capital assets	35,054,554	35,187,467
<b>Total Assets</b>	<u>45,315,115</u>	<u>43,731,989</u>
 <b>Total Deferred Outflows of Resources</b>	 1,371,226	 447,161
Long-term liabilities	12,936,027	10,789,660
Other liabilities	543,420	970,331
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<u>13,479,447</u>	<u>11,759,991</u>
 <b>Total Deferred Inflows of Resources</b>	 105,842	 7,002
Net Position:		
Net investment in capital assets	35,054,554	35,187,467
Unrestricted	(1,953,502)	(2,775,310)
<b>Total Net Position</b>	<u>\$ 33,101,052</u>	<u>\$ 32,412,157</u>

By far, the largest portion of the District's net position reflects its investment in capital assets (land, buildings, machinery, equipment, vehicles, and infrastructure), less any related outstanding debt that was used to acquire those assets. The District uses these assets to provide a variety of services to its citizens; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. The deficit balance for unrestricted net position is primarily due to the continued growth in OPEB payable, which now totals \$10,994,472.

The following table is a condensed schedule of changes in net position for the years ended September 30, 2016 and 2015:

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>
Program Revenues		
Capital contributions	\$	\$ 1,562,785
Impact fees	108,334	461,343
General Revenues		
Property taxes	11,438,944	10,577,052
Earnings on investments	66,935	24,294
Miscellaneous	111,261	83,162
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<u>11,725,474</u>	<u>12,708,636</u>
Program Expenses		
Flood control-maintenance	11,036,579	10,321,412
<b>Total Expenses</b>	<u>11,036,579</u>	<u>10,321,412</u>
Change in Net Position	688,895	2,387,224
<b>Net Position, Beginning</b>	<u>32,412,157</u>	<u>30,024,933</u>
<b>Net Position, Ending</b>	<u>\$ 33,101,052</u>	<u>\$ 32,412,157</u>

The District's revenues are mainly general revenues received from property taxes levied in the District. The District's revenues were sufficient to cover all expenses incurred during the year ended September 30, 2016, resulting in a \$688,895 increase in net position. This increase is \$1,698,329 less than the previous year's increase of \$2,387,224. The key elements of this change in net position are the following: a decrease in capital contributions of \$1,562,785 primarily due to a lawsuit settlement regarding Big Creek in the previous year; a decrease in impact fees of \$353,009 due to decreased development in the District during the current fiscal year; an increase in property tax revenues of \$861,892 due to an increase in the property valuations; and an increase in flood control-maintenance expenses of \$715,167 primarily due an increase in payroll and related costs, an increase in depreciation, and an increase in maintenance fees.

### **Fund Financial Analysis**

As of September 30, 2016, the District's governmental fund reported an ending fund balance of \$9,462,031. The District's main source of revenue is property taxes, which totaled \$11,461,905 for the year ended September 30, 2016. The District's ending fund balance increased during the fiscal year by \$2,165,911 which is a decrease of \$1,725,517 from the previous year's increase of \$3,891,428. This change was primarily due to: an increase in property tax revenues of \$930,789; a decrease in impact fees revenue of \$353,009; a decrease in intergovernmental revenue of \$1,150,000; an increase in operating expenditures of \$427,131; and an increase in capital outlay of \$683,896.

### **General Fund Budgetary Highlights**

During fiscal year 2016, expenditures of \$179,215 and \$190,486 were incurred in the Stafford Run and Big Creek projects, respectively from the General Fund. All expenditures were capitalized at the end of fiscal year 2016, leaving no construction in progress at the end of fiscal year on any of these projects. The unexpended/unencumbered balances as of September 30, 2016 for the Clear Creek, Lower Oyster Creek, Stafford Run, 2014 Drainage, 2015 Drainage, Jones Creek Watershed, Facility Remodel, Big Creek, and Upper Oyster Creek Projects are \$145,296, \$150,978, \$2,116,811, \$3,012, \$650,000, \$76,224, \$58,135, \$4,249,465, and \$1,741,004 respectively.

## Capital Assets

At the end of fiscal year 2016, the District had \$35,054,554 invested in capital assets, as reflected in the following schedule. This represents a decrease of \$132,913 from the previous year.

	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>
<b>Non-Depreciable Capital Assets</b>		
Land	\$ 2,430,770	\$ 2,420,105
<b>Other Capital Assets, Net</b>		
Infrastructure-drainage improvements	27,319,860	27,990,028
Vehicles	507,136	504,511
Office furniture and equipment	37,344	38,360
Machinery and equipment	4,088,608	3,571,963
Buildings and facilities	670,836	662,500
<b>Total Capital Assets</b>	<u>\$ 35,054,554</u>	<u>\$ 35,187,467</u>

Although there was no additional activity recognized in construction in progress for the committed projects outlined under “General Fund Budgetary highlights” on this page, these projects are all ongoing.

## Economic Factors

The County continues to enjoy growth in various demographic areas as the economy improves.

The population of the County is estimated at 716,087 in 2016 and is expected to grow to 807,660 by 2020.

The number of households has increased to 229,900 in 2016 and is expected to grow to 258,820 by 2020. Mean household income for 2016 is \$187,460 and is estimated to rise to \$205,547 by 2020. Income per capita is currently at \$59,793 and is expected to grow to \$66,199 by 2020.

Drainage improvements continue to be a demand from the residents of Fort Bend County. The District is proceeding with several drainage projects that will enhance and compliment the County’s flood control and drainage system.

## Contacting the District’s Management and Obtaining Financial Information

The financial report is designed to provide a general overview of the District’s finances for all those with an interest. Questions concerning any of the information provided in this report or requests for additional financial information should be addressed to Mark Vogler, Fort Bend County Drainage District, 1004 Blume Rd., P.O. Box 1028, Rosenberg, Texas 77471.

***BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS***

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**STATEMENT OF NET POSITION**  
**September 30, 2016**

	<b>Governmental Activities</b>
<b>Assets</b>	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,930,195
Receivables:	
Property taxes, net	255,110
Miscellaneous	60,934
Prepaid items	10,454
Due from primary government	3,868
Capital assets, not subject to depreciation	2,430,770
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation	32,623,784
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b>45,315,115</b>
<b>Deferred Outflows of Resources</b>	
Deferred outflows related to pension activities	1,371,226
<b>Total Deferred Outflows of Resources</b>	<b>1,371,226</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>	
Due to primary government	543,420
<b>Long-term Liabilities:</b>	
Long-term liabilities due within one year	44,208
Long-term liabilities due in more than one year	11,127,094
Net pension liability	1,764,725
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b>13,479,447</b>
<b>Deferred Inflows of Resources</b>	
Deferred inflows related to pension activities	105,842
<b>Total Deferred Inflows of Resources</b>	<b>105,842</b>
<b>Net Position</b>	
Net investment in capital assets	35,054,554
Unrestricted	(1,953,502)
<b>Total Net Position</b>	<b>\$ 33,101,052</b>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES**  
*For the Year Ended September 30, 2016*

	<b>Governmental Activities</b>
<b>Program Expenses</b>	
Flood control-maintenance	11,036,579
<b>Total Program Expenses</b>	<u>11,036,579</u>
<b>Program Revenues</b>	
Impact fees	108,334
<b>Total Program Revenues</b>	<u>108,334</u>
<b>General Revenues</b>	
Property taxes	11,438,944
Earnings on investments	66,935
Miscellaneous	111,261
<b>Total General Revenues</b>	<u>11,617,140</u>
<b>Increase in Net Position</b>	688,895
Net Position, Beginning	<u>32,412,157</u>
<b>Net Position, Ending</b>	<u><u>\$ 33,101,052</u></u>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**BALANCE SHEET**  
**GOVERNMENTAL FUND**  
**September 30, 2016**

	<u>General Fund</u>
<b>Assets</b>	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 9,930,195
Property taxes receivable, net	255,110
Miscellaneous receivable	60,934
Prepaid items	10,454
Due from primary government	3,868
<b>Total Assets</b>	<u>\$ 10,260,561</u>
<b>Liabilities</b>	
Retainage payable	
Due to primary government	543,420
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<u>543,420</u>
<b>Deferred Inflow of Resources</b>	
Unavailable revenue - property taxes	255,110
<b>Total Deferred Inflow of Resources</b>	<u>255,110</u>
<b>Fund Balance</b>	
Nonspendable	10,454
Committed	9,190,924
Unassigned	260,653
<b>Total Fund Balance</b>	<u>9,462,031</u>
<b>Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflow of Resources, and Fund Balance</b>	<u>\$ 10,260,561</u>
<b>Fund Balance - Governmental Fund</b>	\$ 9,462,031
<b>Adjustments for the Statement of Net Position:</b>	
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the governmental fund.	35,054,554
Other long-term assets (property taxes receivable, including penalties and interest on delinquent taxes) are not available to pay for current period expenditures and are therefore deferred in the governmental fund.	255,110
Long-term liabilities, including compensated absences, are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the fund financial statements.	
Accrued compensated absences	(176,830)
Other post-employment benefits ("OPEB")	(10,994,472)
Net pension liability and related deferred outflows and inflows do not represent assets or liabilities in the current period and are not recognized in the governmental fund financial statements.	<u>(499,341)</u>
<b>Net Position of Governmental Activities</b>	<u>\$ 33,101,052</u>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*



**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES**  
**IN FUND BALANCE - GOVERNMENTAL FUND**  
*For the Year Ended September 30, 2016*

	<b>General Fund</b>
<b>Revenues</b>	
Property taxes	\$ 11,461,905
Earnings on investments	66,935
Impact fees	108,334
Miscellaneous	111,261
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<u>11,748,435</u>
<b>Expenditures</b>	
Current operating:	
Flood control-maintenance	7,940,342
Capital outlay	1,642,182
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<u>9,582,524</u>
<b>Net Change in Fund Balance</b>	2,165,911
<b>Fund Balance, Beginning</b>	<u>7,296,120</u>
<b>Fund Balance, Ending</b>	<u>\$ 9,462,031</u>
<b>Net Change in Fund Balance - Governmental Fund</b>	\$ 2,165,911
<b>Adjustments for the Statement of Activities:</b>	
The governmental fund reports capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. This is the amount by which depreciation expense exceeded capital outlay in the current period.	(132,913)
Pension contributions made during the year, are treated as expenditures in the governmental funds but are treated as a reduction in pension liability in government wide financial statements.	405,537
Revenues that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenues in the governmental funds. This adjustment reflects the net change in property taxes receivable and penalties and interest receivable on delinquent taxes on the accrual basis of accounting.	(22,961)
Long-term liabilities (compensated absences and other post-employment benefits) are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the fund financial statements. This adjustment reflects the net change on the accrual basis of accounting.	
Accrued compensated absences	(4,337)
Pension expense for the pension plan measurement year	(422,765)
Other post-employment benefits ("OPEB")	(1,299,577)
<b>Change in Net Position of Governmental Activities</b>	<u>\$ 688,895</u>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.*

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

**A. Reporting Entity**

These financial statements include all of the funds and activities of the Fort Bend County Drainage District (the “District”), which is a component unit of Fort Bend County, Texas (the “County”). Financial statements of the District are included as a blended component unit in the County’s financial statements.

The District was established under Section 59 of Article XVI of the Constitution of Texas and includes all of the property within Fort Bend County. The District was created for the purpose of reclamation and drainage of its lands. The primary criteria for the inclusion of the District in the County's reporting entity, is that of financial accountability. The Commissioners Court, the elected governing body of the County, acts as the governing body of the District.

**B. Implementation of New Standards**

During the current fiscal year, the District implemented the following new standards:

- *GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application*, addresses accounting and financial reporting issues related to fair value measurements. The definition of fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. This Statement provides guidance for determining a fair value measurement for financial reporting purposes. This Statement also provides guidance for applying fair value to certain investments and disclosures related to all fair value measurements.
- *GASB Statement No. 73, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions and Related Assets That Are Not within the Scope of GASB Statement 68, and Amendments to Certain Provisions of GASB Statements 67 and 68*, clarifies the application of certain provisions of Statement No. 68 with regard to information that is required to be presented as notes to the 10-year schedules of required supplementary information about investment-related factors that significantly affect trends in the amounts reported.
- *GASB Statement No. 79, Certain External Investment Pools and Pool Participants*, address accounting and financial reporting for certain external investment pools and pool participants. Specifically, it establishes criteria for an external investment pool to qualify for making the election to measure all of its investments at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes. This Statement establishes additional note disclosure requirements for qualifying external investment pools that measure all of their investment at amortized cost for financial reporting purposes and for governments that participate in those pools. Those disclosures include information about any limitations or restrictions on participant withdrawals.

**C. Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements**

The government-wide financial statements (i.e. the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities) report information about the District as a whole and include all activities of the District. The effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide statements. All of the District’s

activities are reported as governmental activities, which normally are supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use or directly benefit from goods, services or privileges provided by a given function or segment and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

#### **D. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting and Financial Statement Presentation**

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the *accrual basis of accounting*. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows. With this measurement focus, all assets and all liabilities associated with the operations of these activities are included on the statement of net position.

The governmental fund financial statements are presented on a *current financial resources measurement focus* and *modified accrual basis of accounting*. This is the manner in which these funds are normally budgeted. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Measurable means that the amount of the transaction can be determined and available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the District considers revenues to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the current fiscal period.

Under modified accrual accounting, expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which the liability is incurred, if measurable, except for interest on general long-term debt, which is recognized when due. Since the governmental fund statements are presented on a different measurement focus and basis of accounting than the government-wide statements' governmental column, a reconciliation is presented which briefly explains the adjustments necessary to reconcile fund-based financial statements with the governmental column of the government-wide presentation.

In the fund financial statements, the accounts of the District are organized on a fund basis, each of which is considered a separate accounting entity. The operations of each fund are accounted for with a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues and expenditures or expenses, as appropriate. Following is a description of the District fund:

##### ***General Fund***

The General Fund is used to account for all revenues and expenditures, relating to general operations.

#### **E. Budgets**

The Board of Directors adopts an annual budget for the District.

#### **F. Cash and Cash Equivalents**

The District's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits, balances in privately managed local government investment pools and short-term investments with original maturities of three months or less from the date of acquisition. The County's local government investment pools are recorded at amortized cost, which approximates fair value, as permitted by GASB Statement No. 79, Certain Investment Pools and Pool Participants. For the purpose of the statement of cash flows, the

proprietary fund types consider temporary investments with maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

## G. Capital Assets

Capital assets used in governmental fund types of the government are recorded as expenditures in the General Fund and as assets in the government-wide financial statements to the extent the District's capitalization threshold is met, currently \$10,000. All capital assets are valued at historical cost or estimated historical cost if actual cost was not available. Donated capital assets are valued at their estimated fair value on the date of donation.

The Fort Bend Flood Control Water Supply Corporation (the "Corporation") was formed for the purpose of financing and constructing flood control projects and improvements, and any infrastructure that has been constructed, as well as any land or easements purchased by the Corporation during the projects, are conveyed to and maintained by the District. The District is responsible for maintaining these projects, and records these capital assets in its annual financial statements. The District also funds capital assets from general revenues through the annual budget process.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of assets or materially extend assets' lives are charged to operations when incurred. Expenditures that materially change capacities or extend useful lives are capitalized. Upon sale or retirement of capital assets, the cost and related accumulated depreciation, if applicable, are eliminated from the respective accounts and any resulting gain or loss is included in the results of operations.

The District applies a half-year convention for depreciation on all assets. Therefore, one half of a year of depreciation is charged to operations the first and last year that an asset is in service. Depreciation has been provided for plant and equipment using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful life for the type of assets as follows:

<u>Asset Description</u>	<u>Estimated Useful Life</u>
Vehicles	5 to 7 years
Office furniture and equipment	5 to 7 years
Machinery and equipment	7 to 15 years
Buildings and facilities	5 to 39 years
Infrastructure-drainage improvements	20 to 40 years

## H. Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, *deferred outflows of resources*, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will *not* be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then. The District has only one items that qualify for reporting in this category:

- Deferred outflows of resources for pension items - This deferred outflow results from pension plan contributions made after the measurement date of the net pension liability and the results of differences between expected and actual actuarial experiences. The deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from District contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the next fiscal year. The other pension related deferred outflows will be amortized over the expected remaining service lives of all employees (active and inactive employees) that are provided with pensions through the pension plan which is currently 6 years for the District plan.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, *deferred inflows of resources*, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will *not* be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time. The District has two items that qualifies for reporting in this category:

- Deferred inflows of resources for unavailable revenues - Reported only in the governmental funds balance sheet, unavailable revenues from property taxes arise under the modified accrual basis of accounting. These amounts are deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amounts become available.
- Deferred inflows of resources for pension – Reported by the District in the government-wide financial statement of net position, these deferred inflows result primarily from of differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments. These amounts will be amortized over a closed five year period.

## **I. Net Position and Fund Balances**

### **Net Position Classifications**

Net position in government-wide financial statements are classified in three categories: 1) Net investment in capital assets, 2) Restricted net position, and 3) Unrestricted net position. Net position is shown as restricted if constraints placed on use are either (1) externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments or (2) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The Corporation’s net position is all restricted for capital projects. It is the Corporation’s policy to consider restricted – net position to have been depleted before unrestricted – net position is applied.

### **Fund Balance Classifications**

As prescribed by GASB Statement No. 54, governmental funds report fund balance in classifications based primarily on the extent to which the District is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts in the funds can be spent. As of September 30, 2016, fund balance for the government fund is made up of the following:

*Nonspendable Fund Balance* – includes amounts that are (a) not in spendable form, or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact. The “not in spendable form” criterion includes items that are not expected to be converted to cash, for example: inventories, prepaid amounts, and long-term notes receivable.

*Restricted Fund Balance* - includes amounts that can be spent only for the specific purposes stipulated by external resource providers, constitutionally or through enabling legislation. Restrictions may effectively be changed or lifted only with the consent of resource providers.

*Committed Fund Balance* – includes amounts that can only be used for the specific purposes determined by a formal action of the District’s highest level of decision-making authority, the District’s Board. Commitments may be changed or lifted only by the District taking the same formal action that imposed the constraint originally.

*Assigned Fund Balance* – comprises amounts intended to be used by the District for specific purposes that are neither restricted nor committed. *Intent* is expressed by (1) the District’s Board or (b) a body (for example: a budget or finance committee) or official to which the District’s Board has delegated the authority to assign amounts to be used for specific purposes.

*Unassigned Fund Balance* – is the residual classification for the General Fund and includes all amounts not contained in the other classifications. Unassigned amounts are technically available for any purpose.

GASB 54 requires disclosure of any formally adopted minimum fund balance policies. The District’s policy is to budget to maintain a minimum fund balance of 15% of the District’s General Fund annual operating expenditures. If the actual fund balance drops below 15%, it shall be budgeted for recovery the following year. This policy is reviewed annually.

Fund balance for the District as of September 30, 2016, is comprised of nonspendable in the amount of \$10,454 and committed in the amount of \$9,190,924 for capital projects, with the remaining balance of \$260,653 classified as unassigned.

#### **J. Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. In addition, these estimates and assumptions also affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### **K. Date of Managements’ Review**

In preparing the financial statements, the District has evaluated events and transactions for potential recognition or disclosure through March 29, 2017, the date that the financial statements were available to be issued.

### **NOTE 2 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS**

#### **A. Authorization for Deposits and Investments**

The Texas Public Funds Investment Act (“PFIA”), as prescribed in Chapter 2256 of the Texas Government Code, regulates deposits and investment transactions of the District.

In accordance with applicable statutes, the District has a depository contract with an area bank (depository) providing for interest rates to be earned on deposited funds and for banking charges the District incurs for banking services received. The District may place funds with the depository in interest and non-interest bearing accounts. State law provides that collateral pledged as security for bank deposits must have a market value of not less than the amount of the deposits and must consist of: (1) obligations of the United States or its agencies and instrumentalities; (2) direct obligations of the State of Texas or its agencies; (3) other obligations, the principal and interest on which are unconditionally guaranteed or insured by the State of Texas; and/or (4) obligations of states, agencies, counties, cities and other political subdivisions of any state having been rated as to investment quality by a nationally recognized investment rating firm and having received a rating of not less than “A” or its equivalent. District policy requires the collateralization level to be at least 110% of market value of principal.

Commissioners’ Court has adopted a written investment policy regarding the investment of District funds as required by the Public Funds Investment Act (Chapter 2256, Texas Government Code). Investments made by the District are in compliance with the District’s investment policy.

The District’s investment policy is more restrictive than the PFIA requires. It is the District’s policy to restrict its direct investments to obligations of the U.S. Government or U.S. Government Agencies, fully

collateralized certificates of deposit, and local government investment pools. The maximum maturity allowed is three years from the date of purchase.

As of September 30, 2016, the District reported deposits in the amount of \$2,780,450. The District's collateral requirement, in accordance with its investment policy is 110%. Of the bank balance, the entire amount was covered by federal depository insurance or by collateral held by the County's agent in the County's name as of September 30, 2016.

## B. Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates may adversely affect the value of the investments. The District monitors interest rate risk utilizing weighted average maturity analysis. In accordance with its investment policy, the District reduces its exposure to declines in fair values by limiting the weighted average maturity of its investment portfolio as a whole to no more than 3 years. At year-end, the District's cash and investments balances and the weighted average maturity of these investments were as follows:

	<u>Fair Value/ Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Weighted Average Maturity</u>
Demand Deposits	\$ 2,780,450	1
Investment Pools:		
Texas CLASS	7,148,397	56
Texas TERM	335	55
LOGIC	1,013	39
Total Fair Value	<u>\$ 9,930,195</u>	
Portfolio weighted average maturity (days)	<u>41</u>	

Texas Cooperative Liquid Assets Securities System Trust ("Texas CLASS") is a local government investment pool organized under the authority of the Interlocal Cooperation Act, chapter 791, Texas Government Code, and the PFIA. Texas CLASS was established in 1996. Pursuant to the Trust Agreement, Texas CLASS is supervised by a Board of Trustees who are elected by the Participants. The Board of Trustees supervises the Trust and its affairs and acts as the liaison between the Participants, the Custodian and the Program Administrator. Cutwater Investor Services Corp. serves as Program Administrator. Cutwater Investor Services Corp. is a subsidiary of Cutwater Asset Management. Texas CLASS is considered a '2a-7 like pool' under Governmental Accounting Standards Statement No. 31; it will operate the pool consistent with the SEC's Rule 2a7. It maintains a Net Asset Value of approximately \$1 per share.

The TexasTERM Local Government Investment Pool ("TexasTERM") is organized in conformity with the PFIA. It provides for a fixed-rate, fixed-term investment for a period of 60 days to one year and includes TexasDAILY, a portfolio of the Local Government Pool, providing daily access to funds. An Advisory Board composed of participants in TexasTERM and other parties who do not participate in the Pool, has responsibility for the overall management of the Pool, including formulation and implementation of its investment and operating policies. PFM Asset Management LLC, a leading national financial and investment advisory firm, is the investment advisor to the pool. TexasTERM's TexasDAILY portfolio is considered a '2a-7 like pool' under Governmental Accounting Standards Statement No. 31; it will operate the pool consistent with the SEC's Rule 2a7. It maintains a Net Asset Value of approximately \$1 per share.

Local Government Investment Cooperative (“LOGIC”) is a local government investment pool organized under the authority of the Interlocal Cooperation Act, chapter 791, Texas Government Code, and the PFIA. The Pool was created in April, 1994 through a contract among its participating governmental units, and is governed by a board of directors, to provide for the joint investment of participant's public funds and funds under their control. LOGIC is considered a '2a-7 like pool' under Governmental Accounting Standards Statement No. 31; it will operate the pool consistent with the SEC's Rule 2a7. It maintains a Net Asset Value of approximately \$1 per share.

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 79, *Certain External Investment Pools and Pool Participants*, the local government investment pools do not have any limitations and restrictions on withdrawals such as notice periods or maximum transaction amounts. These pools do not impose any liquidity fees or redemption gates.

**C. Credit Risk**

The District’s investment policy does not require investments to hold certain credit ratings issued by nationally recognized statistical rating organizations. As of September 30, 2016, all of the District’s investments were rated “AAAm” by Standard and Poor’s.

**D. Concentration of Credit Risk**

It is the County’s policy to diversify its portfolio to eliminate the risk of loss resulting from a concentration of assets of a specific maturity (save and except zero duration funds), a specific issuer or a specific class of investments. To achieve this diversification, the County will limit investments in specific types of securities to the following percentages of the total portfolio:

<u>Investment Type</u>	<u>Maximum Investment %</u>
Repurchase Agreements	up to 35%
Certificates of Deposit	up to 50%
U.S. Treasury Bills/Notes	up to 100%
Other U.S. Government Securities	up to 80%
Authorized Local Government Investment Pools	up to 80%
No Load Money Market Mutual Funds	up to 50%
Bankers Acceptances	up to 15%

As of September 30, 2016, \$2,780,450 of the District’s cash and cash equivalents are contained in demand deposit accounts.

**NOTE 3 – RECEIVABLES**

Receivables as of September 30, 2016, consist primarily of property tax receivables, as detailed below:

Delinquent property taxes	\$ 186,179
Less allowance for uncollectibles	(18,618)
Penalties and interest	97,277
Less allowance for uncollectibles	(9,728)
Total net receivables	<u>\$ 255,110</u>



## A. Property Taxes

The District's tax year covers the period October 1 through September 30. The District's property taxes are levied annually in October on the basis of the Fort Bend County Appraisal District's assessed values as of January 1 of that calendar year. Taxes are due on receipt of the tax bill and are delinquent if not paid before February 1 of the year following the year in which imposed. The District's property taxes are billed and collected by the County's Tax Assessor/Collector.

## NOTE 4 – CAPITAL ASSETS

A summary of changes in capital assets for the year ended September 30, 2016, is as follows:

	<b>Balances 9/30/15</b>	<b>Increases</b>	<b>(Decreases)</b>	<b>Balances 9/30/16</b>
<b>Governmental Activities:</b>				
Capital assets not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 2,420,105	\$ 10,665	\$	\$ 2,430,770
Construction in progress		369,701	(369,701)	
<b>Total capital assets not depreciated</b>	<u>2,420,105</u>	<u>380,366</u>	<u>(369,701)</u>	<u>2,430,770</u>
Other capital assets:				
Infrastructure-drainage improvements	30,979,646	369,701		31,349,347
Vehicles	1,450,554	121,879	(57,943)	1,514,490
Office furniture and equipment	202,302	10,218		212,520
Machinery and equipment	9,334,403	1,078,291	(462,986)	9,949,708
Buildings and facilities	1,735,090	55,785		1,790,875
<b>Total other capital assets</b>	<u>43,701,995</u>	<u>1,635,874</u>	<u>(520,929)</u>	<u>44,816,940</u>
<b>Less accumulated depreciation for:</b>				
Infrastructure-drainage improvements	(2,989,618)	(1,039,869)		(4,029,487)
Vehicles	(946,043)	(119,254)	57,943	(1,007,354)
Office furniture and equipment	(163,942)	(11,234)		(175,176)
Machinery and equipment	(5,762,440)	(561,646)	462,986	(5,861,100)
Buildings and facilities	(1,072,590)	(47,449)		(1,120,039)
Total accumulated depreciation	<u>(10,934,633)</u>	<u>(1,779,452)</u>	<u>520,929</u>	<u>(12,193,156)</u>
Other capital assets, net	32,767,362	(143,578)		32,623,784
<b>Totals</b>	<u>\$ 35,187,467</u>	<u>\$ 236,788</u>	<u>\$ (369,701)</u>	<u>\$ 35,054,554</u>

Construction in progress as of September 30, 2016, consisted of the following projects:

<b>Project</b>	<b>Balances 10/1/15</b>	<b>Increases- Retainage Included</b>	<b>(Decreases- Capitalizations)</b>	<b>Balances 9/30/16</b>	<b>Commitments</b>
Big Creek	\$	\$ 190,486	\$ (190,486)	\$	\$ 367,155
Stafford Run Creek		179,215	(179,215)		1,080
Drainage Remodel					3,977
Jones Creek Watershed Study					41,550
<b>Totals</b>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 369,701</u>	<u>\$ (369,701)</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 413,762</u>

The capitalization of the construction in progress represents portions of each project that were placed in service during fiscal year 2015. Commitments of \$413,762 represent contracts that were still active as of September 30, 2016.

## NOTE 5 – LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

Long-term liabilities applicable to the District’s governmental activities are not due and payable in the current period, and accordingly, are not reported as fund liabilities in the governmental fund.

A summary of long-term liability transactions of the District for the year ended September 30, 2016, is as follows:

	<u>Balance</u> <u>10/1/15</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>(Retirements)</u>	<u>Balance</u> <u>9/30/16</u>	<u>Amounts Due</u> <u>Within One</u> <u>Year</u>
Accrued compensated absences	\$ 172,493	\$ 200,821	\$ (196,484)	\$ 176,830	\$ 44,208
Other post-employment benefits ("OPEB") obligation	<u>9,694,895</u>	<u>1,939,407</u>	<u>(639,830)</u>	<u>10,994,472</u>	
<b>Total Long-term Liabilities</b>	<u>\$ 9,867,388</u>	<u>\$ 2,140,228</u>	<u>\$ (836,314)</u>	<u>\$ 11,171,302</u>	<u>\$ 44,208</u>

## NOTE 6 – EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEM

### General Information about the Pension Plan

#### A. Plan Description

The County provides retirement, disability, and death benefits for all of its full-time employees through a nontraditional defined benefit pension plan in the statewide Texas County and District Retirement System (“TCDRS”). The Board of Trustees of TCDRS is responsible for the administration of the statewide agent multiple-employer public employee retirement system consisting of nontraditional defined benefit pension plans. TCDRS in the aggregate issues a comprehensive annual financial report (“CAFR”) on a calendar year basis. The CAFR is available upon written request from the TCDRS Board of Trustees at P.O. Box 2034, Austin, Texas 78768-2034. Because the District participates in TCDRS as a component unit of the County plan, no distinctly separate actuarial calculations are performed or separate fiduciary net position maintained for the District. As such, the District’s participation in the plan is being reported as a participation in a cost-sharing plan (with the County) in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

#### B. Benefits Provided

The plan provisions are adopted by the governing body of the employer for the benefit of all full-time employees of the County, within the options available in the Texas state statutes governing TCDRS (“TCDRS Act”). Members can retire at ages 60 and above with eight or more years of service, with 30 years of service regardless of age, or when the sum of their age and years of service equals 75 or more. Members are vested after eight years of service but must leave their accumulated contributions in the plan to receive any employer-financed benefit. Members who withdraw their personal contributions in a lump sum are not entitled to any amounts contributed by their employer.

Benefit amounts are determined by the sum of the employee's contributions to the plan, with interest, and employer-financed monetary credits. The level of these monetary credits is adopted by the governing body of the employer within the actuarial constraints imposed by the TCDRS Act so that the resulting benefits can be expected to be adequately financed by the employer's commitment to contribute. At retirement, death, or disability, the benefit is calculated by converting the sum of the employee's

accumulated contributions and the employer-financed monetary credits to a monthly annuity using annuity purchase rates prescribed by the TCDRS Act.

All employees are eligible for non-duty disability benefits after 10 years of service and for duty-related disability benefits upon hire. Disability retirement benefits are determined in the same manner as retirement benefits but are payable immediately without an actuarial reduction. Death benefits equal two times the employee’s final full-year salary. An employee who leaves County service may withdraw his or her contributions, plus any accumulated interest.

Benefit terms provide for annual cost-of-living adjustments to each employee’s retirement allowance subsequent to the employee’s retirement date. The annual adjustments are one-half of the change in the Consumer Price Index, limited to a maximum increase in retirement allowance of 2 percent for general employees and 3 percent for public safety employees. The Commissioners Court considers providing an additional cost-of-living adjustment after the employee’s retirement date beyond the terms of the plan during the budget process if sufficient funds are available.

**C. Employees Covered by Benefit Terms**

As of September 30, 2016, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms:

Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	45
Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	47
Active employees	<u>75</u>
Total	<u><u>167</u></u>

**D. Contributions**

The employer has elected the annually determined contribution rate (“ADCR”) plan provisions of the TCDRS Act. The plan is funded by monthly contributions from both employee members and the employer based on the covered payroll of employee members. Under the TCDRS Act, the contribution rate of the employer is actuarially determined annually. It was 12.14% for calendar year 2015 and 11.79% for calendar year 2016. The contribution rate payable by the employee members is the rate of 7% as adopted by the governing body of the employer. The employee contribution rate and the employer contribution rate may be changed by the governing body of the employer within the options available in the TCDRS Act.

The County’s total payroll in fiscal year 2016 was approximately \$138.4 million and the County’s contributions were based on a payroll of approximately \$137.2 million. Contributions made by employees totaled \$9,606,759, and the County made contributions of \$16,282,073 during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016, of which \$408,623 was attributable to the District.

***Net Pension Liability***

The District’s net pension liability was measured as of December 31, 2015, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date.

## A. Actuarial Assumptions

The total pension liability in the December 31, 2015 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

<b>Valuation Timing</b>	Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of December 31, two years prior to the end of the fiscal year in which the contributions are reported.
<b>Actuarial Cost Method</b>	Entry Age Normal
<b>Actuarial Cost Method</b>	
Recognition of economic/demographic gains or losses	Straight-Line amortization over Expected Working Life
Recognition of assumptions changes or inputs	Straight-Line amortization over Expected Working Life
<b>Asset Valuation Method</b>	
Smoothing period	5 years
Recognition method	Non-asymptotic
Corridor	None
<b>Inflation</b>	3.00%
<b>Salary Increases</b>	3.50%
<b>Investment Rate of Return</b>	8.10%
<b>Cost-of-Living Adjustments</b>	Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Fort Bend County are not considered to be substantively automatic under GASB 68. Therefore, no assumption for future cost-of-living adjustments is included in the GASB calculations. No assumption for future cost-of-living adjustments is included in the funding valuation.
<b>Mortality</b>	
Depositing members	The RP-2000 Active Employee Mortality Table for males with a two-year set-forward and the RP-2000 Active Employee Mortality Table for females with a four-year setback, both projected to 2014 with a scale AA, and then projected with a 110% of the MP-2014 Ultimate scale after that.
Service retirees, beneficiaries and non-depositing members	The RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table projected to 2014 with scale AA, and then projected with 110% of the MP-2014 Ultimate scale after that, with a one-year set-forward for males and no age adjustment for females.
Disabled retirees	RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table projected to 2014 with scale AA and then projected with 110% of the MP-2014 Ultimate scale after that, with no age adjustment for males and a two-year set-forward for females.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation.

## **B. Discount rate**

The discount rate is the single rate of return that, when applied to all projected benefit payments results in an actuarial present value of projected benefit payments equal to the total of the following:

1. The actuarial present value of benefit payments projected to be made in future periods in which (a) the amount of the pension plan's fiduciary net position is projected to be greater than the benefit payments that are projected to be made in that period and (b) pension plan assets up to that point are expected to be invested using a strategy to achieve the long-term rate of return, calculated using the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments.
2. The actuarial present value of projected benefit payments not included in (1), calculated using the municipal bond rate.

Therefore, if plan investments in a given future year are greater than projected benefit payments in that year and are invested such that they are expected to earn the long-term rate of return, the discount rate applied to projected benefit payments in that year should be the long-term expected rate of return on plan investments. If future years exist where this is not the case, then an index rate reflecting the yield on a 20-year, tax-exempt municipal bond should be used to discount the projected benefit payments for those years.

The determination of a future date when plan investments are not sufficient to pay projected benefit payments is often referred to as a depletion date projection. A depletion date projection compares projections of the pension plan's fiduciary net position to projected benefit payments and aims to determine a future date, if one exists, when the fiduciary net position is projected to be less than projected benefit payments. If an evaluation of the sufficiency of the projected fiduciary net position compared to projected benefit payments can be made with sufficient reliability without performing a depletion date projection, alternative methods to determine sufficiency may be applied.

In order to determine the discount rate to be used by the employer we have used an alternative method to determine the sufficiency of the fiduciary net position in all future years. Our alternative method reflects the funding requirements under the employer's funding policy and the legal requirements under the TCDRS Act.

1. TCDRS has a funding policy where the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability ("UAAL") shall be amortized as a level percent of pay over 20-year closed layered periods.
2. Under the TCDRS Act, the employer is legally required to make the contribution specified in the funding policy.
3. The employer's assets are projected to exceed its accrued liabilities in 20 years or less. When this point is reached, the employer is still required to contribute at least the normal cost.
4. Any increased cost due to the adoption of a COLA is required to be funded over a period of 15 years, if applicable.

Based on the above, the projected fiduciary net position is determined to be sufficient compared to projected benefit payments. Based on the expected level of cash flows and investment returns to the system, the fiduciary net position as a percentage of total pension liability is projected to increase from its current level in future years.

Since the projected fiduciary net position is projected to be sufficient to pay projected benefit payments in all future years, the discount rate for purposes of calculating the total pension liability and net pension liability of the employer is equal to the long-term assumed rate of return on investments. This long-term assumed rate of return should be net of investment expenses, but gross of administrative expenses for GASB 68 purposes. Therefore, we have used a discount rate of 8.10%. This rate reflects the long-term assumed rate of return on assets for funding purposes of 8.00%, net of all expenses, increased by 0.10% to be gross of administrative expenses.

### C. Long-Term Expected Rate of Return

The long-term expected rate of return on TCDRS assets is determined by adding expected inflation to expected long-term real returns, and reflecting expected volatility and correlation. The capital market assumptions and information shown below are provided by TCDRS' investment consultant, Cliffwater LLC. The numbers shown are based on January 2016 information for a 7-10 year time horizon. Note that the valuation assumption for long-term expected return is re-assessed at a minimum of every four years, and is set based on a 30-year time horizon; the most recent analysis was performed in 2013.

Asset Class	Benchmark	Target Allocation (1)	Geometric Real Rate of Return (Expected minus Inflation) (2)
US Equities	Dow Jones U.S. Total Stock Market Index	14.50%	5.45%
Private Equity	Cambridge Associates Global Private Equity & Venture	14.00%	8.45%
Global Equities	MSCI World (net) Index	1.50%	5.75%
International Equities - Developed	MSCI World Ex USA (net)	10.00%	5.45%
International Equities - Emerging	MSCI World Ex USA (net)	8.00%	6.45%
Investment-Grade Bonds	Barclays Capital Aggregate Bond Index	3.00%	1.00%
High Yield Bonds	Citigroup High-Yield Cash-Pay Capped Index	3.00%	5.10%
Opportunistic Credit	Citigroup High-Yield Cash-Pay Capped Index	2.00%	5.09%
Direct Lending	Citigroup High-Yield Cash-Pay Capped Index	5.00%	6.40%
Distressed Debt	Citigroup High-Yield Cash-Pay Capped Index	3.00%	8.10%
REIT Equities	67% FTSE NAREIT Equity REITs Index + 33% FRSE EPRA/NAREIT Global Real Estate Index	3.00%	4.00%
Master Limited Partnerships ("MLPs")	Alerian MLP Index	3.00%	6.80%
Private Real Estate Partnerships	Cambridge Associates Real Estate Index (4)	5.00%	6.90%
Hedge Funds	Hedge Fund Research, Inc. ("HFRI") Fund of Funds Composite Index	25.00%	5.25%

(1) Target asset allocation adopted at the April 2016 TCDRS Board meeting.

(2) Geometric real rates of return in addition to assumed inflation of 1.6%, per Cliffwater's 2016 capital market assumptions.

(3) Includes vintage years 2006-present of Quarter Pooled Horizon IRRs.

(4) Includes vintage years 2007-present of Quarter Pooled Horizon IRRs.

#### D. Sensitivity Analysis

The following presents the net pension liability of the District, calculated using the discount rate of 8.10%, as well as what the District's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage point lower (7.10%) or 1 percentage point higher (9.10%) than the current rate.

	<b>1% Decrease 7.10%</b>	<b>Current Discount Rate 8.10%</b>	<b>1% Increase 9.10%</b>
District's proportional share of the net pension liability / (asset)	\$ 3,666,952	\$ 1,764,725	\$ 190,442

#### E. Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At September 30, 2016, the District reported a liability for its proportionate share of the County's net pension liability as follows:

District's proportion of the net pension liability	2.50%
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$1,764,725

#### F. Deferred Inflows / Outflows of Resources

For the year ended September 30, 2016, the District recognized pension expense of \$422,765. As of the measurement date of December 31, 2015, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	<b>Deferred Outflows of Resources</b>	<b>Deferred Inflows of Resources</b>
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	\$ 105,842
Changes of assumptions	105,625	
Net difference between projected and actual earnings	946,605	
Contributions made subsequent to measurement date	318,996	
	<u>\$ 1,371,226</u>	<u>\$ 105,842</u>

Amounts currently reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, excluding contributions made subsequent to the December 31 measurement date, will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year ended December 31:	
2016	\$ 244,823
2017	244,823
2018	244,823
2019	210,275
2020	1,644
Total	<u>\$ 946,388</u>

## NOTE 7 – OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

In addition to providing pension benefits through the Texas County and District Retirement System, the County has opted to provide eligible retired employees with the following post-employment benefits:

- Eligible retirees receive the same healthcare benefits as current eligible County employees.
- Eligible retirees may purchase healthcare coverage for eligible dependents at the same subsidized cost to current eligible County employees.

The County is statutorily required to permit retiree participation in the health insurance program on a pooled non-differentiated basis. The County recognizes its share of the costs of providing these benefits when paid, on a “pay-as-you-go” basis. These payments are budgeted annually. As of September 30, 2016, there were 45 retirees receiving benefits and approximately 75 active members not yet receiving benefits. Commencing in fiscal year 2008, the County implemented GASB Statement No. 45 “Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Post-Employment Benefits Other Than Pensions.” The County has performed actuarial valuations of its post-retirement benefit liability in 2008, 2010, 2012, 2014, and 2016.

For fiscal year 2016, the District’s annual OPEB cost for the plan was \$1,299,577. The District’s annual OPEB cost, the percentage of annual OPEB cost contributed to the Plan, and the net OPEB obligation for the year ended September 30, 2016, were as follows:

	<b>Fiscal Year Ending 9/30/16</b>
<b>Determination of Annual Required Contribution</b>	
Normal Cost at Fiscal Year End	\$ 752,589
Amortization of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability	1,338,115
Annual Required Contribution	<u>2,090,704</u>
<b>Determination of Net OPEB Obligation</b>	
Annual Required Contribution	2,090,704
Interest on prior year Net OPEB Obligation	387,796
Adjustment to ARC	(539,093)
Annual OPEB Cost	<u>1,939,407</u>
Less Assumed Contributions Made	<u>(639,830)</u>
Estimate Increase in Net OPEB Obligation	1,299,577
Net OPEB Obligation – Beginning of Year	<u>9,694,895</u>
Net OPEB Obligation – End of Year	<u><u>\$ 10,994,472</u></u>

The following table shows the annual OPEB cost and net OPEB obligation for the prior 3 years assuming the plan is not prefunded (4% discount):

<b>Fiscal Year Ended</b>	<b>Discount Rate</b>	<b>Annual OPEB Cost</b>	<b>Percentage of OPEB Cost Contributed</b>	<b>Net OPEB Obligation</b>
2014	4%	\$ 1,731,470	29.3%	\$ 8,479,072
2015	4%	1,780,416	31.7%	9,694,895
2016	4%	1,939,407	33.0%	10,994,472



## **NOTE 8 – CONTINGENT LIABILITIES**

The District is contingently liable for lawsuits and other claims arising in the ordinary course of its operations. The settlement of such contingencies under the budgetary process would not materially affect the financial position of the District as of September 30, 2016.

## **NOTE 9 – RISK MANAGEMENT**

The District is exposed to various risks related to torts: theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disaster. The County's risk management program, which covers the District, encompasses various means of protecting the District against loss by obtaining property, casualty and liability coverage through commercial insurance carriers, self-insurance and from participation in a risk pool. The participation of the District in the risk pool is limited to the payment of premiums. Settled claims have not exceeded insurance coverage in any of the previous three fiscal years. There has not been any significant reduction in insurance coverage from that of the previous year.

## **NOTE 10 – IMPACT FEES**

Impact fees are authorized by resolution of the Commissioners Court pursuant to chapter 395 of the Local Government Code. These fees are collected from property owners within the service area of the Oyster Creek watershed. Impact fees have been collected by the County and were previously deposited with the Corporation to support debt service. After the Oyster Creek bonds were paid off by the Corporation in fiscal year 2008, it was determined that these impact fees should be deposited with the District to support the Oyster Creek projects managed by the District.



***REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION***

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES**  
**IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL**  
**GENERAL FUND - BUDGETARY BASIS**  
**For the Year Ended September 30, 2016**

	<u>Original Budget</u>	<u>Final Budget</u>	<u>Actual Amounts Budgetary Basis</u>	<u>Variance from Final Positive (Negative)</u>
<b>Revenues</b>				
Property taxes	\$ 11,435,816	\$ 11,435,816	\$ 11,461,905	\$ 26,089
Fines and fees	25,000	25,000	108,334	83,334
Earnings on investments	20,000	20,000	66,935	46,935
Miscellaneous	65,000	65,000	111,261	46,261
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<u>11,545,816</u>	<u>11,545,816</u>	<u>11,748,435</u>	<u>202,619</u>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
Current:				
Salaries and personnel costs	5,951,996	5,656,418	5,656,281	137
Operating costs	2,555,289	2,172,702	2,052,917	119,785
Information technology costs	2,116	6,116	5,731	385
Capital acquisitions	952,600	1,212,765	1,212,264	501
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<u>9,462,001</u>	<u>9,048,001</u>	<u>8,927,193</u>	<u>120,808</u>
Excess of Revenues Over Expenditures				
Excess of Revenues Over Expenditures	<u>2,083,815</u>	<u>2,497,815</u>	<u>2,821,242</u>	<u>323,427</u>
<b>Other Financing Sources (Uses)</b>				
Transfers (out)	(1,151,391)	(121,391)		121,391
<b>Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)</b>	<u>(1,151,391)</u>	<u>(121,391)</u>		<u>121,391</u>
<b>Net Change in Fund Balance- Budgetary Basis</b>	932,424	2,376,424	2,821,242	444,818
<b>Net Adjustment to Reflect Operations in Accordance with GAAP (a)</b>				
			(655,331)	
<b>Fund Balance, Beginning of Year</b>	<u>7,296,120</u>	<u>7,296,120</u>	<u>7,296,120</u>	
<b>Fund Balance, End of Year</b>	<u>\$ 8,228,544</u>	<u>\$ 9,672,544</u>	<u>\$ 9,462,031</u>	<u>\$ 444,818</u>

(a) See reconciliation on the following page.

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**NOTES TO BUDGETARY REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

**Budgets**

The Board adopts an annual appropriations budget for the General Fund using the same basis of accounting as for financial reporting. All annual appropriations lapse at fiscal year-end. The County Budget Officer prepares the proposed budget, using revenue estimates furnished by the County Auditor and submits the data to the Board. The Board holds a public hearing on the budget. Before determining the final budget, the Board may increase or decrease the amounts requested by District management. In the final budget, appropriations for the General Fund cannot exceed the estimated available budgetary fund balance in such funds at October 1, plus the estimate of revenues for the ensuing year. During the year, the Board may increase budgeted revenues and expenditures for unexpected revenues or beginning fund balance in excess of budget estimates, provided the Board rules that a state of emergency exists. The District may transfer amounts among individual budget line items within major expenditure categories during the year, but the Board must approve any budget transfers between major expenditure categories. However, no such transfer may increase the overall total of the budget.

The General Fund includes a multi-year budget that is not confined to the fiscal year ending September 30. This multi-year budget is primarily used to account for capital projects. The funding for these multi-year budgets originates from a prior and/or current fiscal year budget allocation within the General Fund. These annual budgetary allocations are transferred to the multi-year budgets within the General Fund. The residual balances of these budgets are reconsidered by the Board annually during the budget process described in the preceding paragraph. The schedule below shows a reconciliation of the GAAP Basis activity in the General Fund for the fiscal year and multi-year budgetary basis to determine the actual net change in fund balance.

	<b>Actual Amounts Budgetary Basis</b>	<b>Actual Multi-Year</b>	<b>Actual Amounts GAAP Basis</b>
	<u>                    </u>	<u>                    </u>	<u>                    </u>
Revenues	\$ 11,748,435	\$	\$ 11,748,435
Expenditures	<u>8,927,193</u>	<u>655,331</u>	<u>9,582,524</u>
<b>Net Change in Fund Balance</b>	2,821,242	(655,331)	2,165,911
<b>Fund Balance, Beginning of Year</b>			<u>7,296,120</u>
<b>Fund Balance, End of Year</b>			<u><u>\$ 9,462,031</u></u>

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**TEXAS COUNTY AND DISTRICT RETIREMENT SYSTEM**  
September 30, 2016

**TEXAS COUNTY AND DISTRICT RETIREMENT SYSTEM (UNAUDITED)**  
*Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios*

	<b>Measurement Year 2015</b>	<b>Measurement Year 2014</b>
District's proportion of the net pension liability	2.50%	2.65%
District's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 1,764,725	\$ 922,272
District's covered employee payroll	\$ 3,323,453	\$ 3,167,115
Plan's net pension liability as a percentage of covered employee payroll per Fort Bend County CAFR	55.29%	29.12%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability per Fort Bend County CAFR	87.06%	93.16%

Note: GASB 68 requires 10 years of net pension liability and related ratios information. This information is not available and has not been calculated prior to the first measurement year ended December 31, 2014. In the future, such information will be used to populate this schedule as it becomes available.

**TEXAS COUNTY AND DISTRICT RETIREMENT SYSTEM (UNAUDITED)**  
*Schedule of District Contributions*  
Last Ten Fiscal Years

<u>Year ending September 30</u>	<u>Actuarially determined contribution</u>	<u>Actual contributions</u>	<u>Contribution deficiency (excess)</u>	<u>Covered employee payroll</u>	<u>Contributions as a percentage of covered employee payroll</u>
2016	\$ 408,623	\$ 408,623	\$	\$ 3,204,225	12.8%
2015	400,381	400,381		3,293,019	12.2%
2014	384,271	384,271		3,192,830	12.0%
2013	366,550	366,550		3,205,827	11.4%
2012	354,025	354,025		3,221,396	11.0%
2011	334,600	334,600		3,127,625	10.7%
2010	330,361	330,361		3,096,582	10.7%
2009	320,040	320,040		3,138,713	10.2%
2008	309,544	309,544		3,040,861	10.2%
2007	294,197	294,197		2,867,470	10.3%

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**NOTES TO PENSION REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**  
*For the Year Ended September 30, 2016*

<b>Valuation Timing</b>	Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of December 31, two years prior to the end of the fiscal year in which the contributions are reported.
<b>Actuarial Cost Method</b>	Entry Age Normal
<b>Actuarial Cost Method</b>	
Recognition of economic/demographic gains or losses	Straight-Line amortization over Expected Working Life
Recognition of assumptions changes or inputs	Straight-Line amortization over Expected Working Life
<b>Asset Valuation Method</b>	
Smoothing period	5 years
Recognition method	Non-asymptotic
Corridor	None
<b>Inflation</b>	3.00%
<b>Salary Increases</b>	3.50%
<b>Investment Rate of Return</b>	8.10%
<b>Cost-of-Living Adjustments</b>	Cost-of-Living Adjustments for Fort Bend County are not considered to be substantively automatic under GASB 68. Therefore, no assumption for future cost-of-living adjustments is included in the GASB calculations. No assumption for future cost-of-living adjustments is included in the funding valuation.
<b>Mortality</b>	
Depositing members	The RP-2000 Active Employee Mortality Table for males with a two-year set-forward and the RP-2000 Active Employee Mortality Table for females with a four-year setback, both projected to 2014 with a scale AA, and then projected with a 110% of the MP-2014 Ultimate scale after that.
Service retirees, beneficiaries and non-depositing members	The RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table projected to 2014 with scale AA, and then projected with 110% of the MP-2014 Ultimate scale after that, with a one-year set-forward for males and no age adjustment for females.
Disabled retirees	RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table projected to 2014 with scale AA and then projected with 110% of the MP-2014 Ultimate scale after that, with no age adjustment for males and a two-year set-forward for females.

*(This page intentionally left blank)*



## TEXAS SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

<b>Contents</b>	<b><u>Page(s)</u></b>
TSI-1. Services and Rates	n/a
TSI-2. General Fund Expenditures	36
TSI-3. Temporary Investments	n/a
TSI-4. Taxes Levied and Receivable	37
TSI-5. Long-Term Debt Service Requirements by Years	n/a
TSI-6. Changes in Long-Term Bonded Debt	n/a
TSI-7. Comparative Schedule of Revenues and Expenditures - General Fund	38-39
TSI-8. Board Members, Key Personnel, and Consultants	40

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES**  
*For the Year Ended September 30, 2016*

TSI-2

**Salary and Personnel Costs:**

Salaries and labor	\$ 3,461,747
Board pay	12,000
Payroll taxes	256,913
Retirement	408,623
Insurance	1,031,436
Insurance-retirees	485,562

**Operating and Training Costs:**

Fees	648,164
Travel	13,685
Rental	
Office supplies	
Supplies and maintenance	1,256,704
Repairs and maintenance	
Fuel	295,124
Property and equipment	64,653

**Information Technology Costs**

5,731

**Capital Acquisition Costs**

1,642,182

**TOTAL EXPENDITURES**

\$ 9,582,524

Number of employees employed by the District:

75

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**TAXES LEVIED AND RECEIVABLE**  
*September 30, 2016*

TSI-4

	<b>Maintenance Taxes</b>
<b>Taxes receivable - Beginning of Year</b>	\$ 207,197
Adjustments	(6,397)
Adjusted receivable	<u>200,800</u>
<b>2015 Tax Levy:</b>	
Original tax levy	11,070,507
Adjustments and corrections	426,849
Adjusted 2015 tax levy	<u>11,497,356</u>
<b>Total to be Accounted for</b>	<u>11,698,156</u>
<b>Tax Collections:</b>	
Current year	11,429,202
Prior years	<u>82,775</u>
<b>Total Collections</b>	<u>11,511,977</u>
<b>Taxes Receivable - End of Year</b>	<u>\$ 186,179</u>
<b>Taxes Receivable - By Years:</b>	
2015	\$ 68,154
2014	26,588
2013	11,919
2012	11,974
2011	10,681
2010 and prior	<u>56,863</u>
<b>Taxes Receivable - End of Year</b>	<u>\$ 186,179</u>

Note: Taxes receivable above does not include the allowance for uncollectibles of \$18,618.

<b>Assessed</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
<b>Property Valuations:</b>					
Land	\$16,242,328,413	\$15,245,762,915	\$14,699,071,456	\$14,500,146,320	\$14,113,725,080
Improvements	50,201,022,237	40,420,977,909	36,770,530,058	34,202,942,871	32,372,060,606
Personal property	5,351,249,168	5,346,224,119	5,003,828,350	4,673,417,953	4,628,171,833
Less: exemptions	<u>(19,077,898,889)</u>	<u>(16,013,122,396)</u>	<u>(15,035,125,611)</u>	<u>(14,418,705,295)</u>	<u>(13,518,350,054)</u>
<b>Total</b>	<u>\$52,716,700,929</u>	<u>\$44,999,842,547</u>	<u>\$41,438,304,253</u>	<u>\$38,957,801,849</u>	<u>\$37,595,607,465</u>

<b>Tax Rates Per \$100</b>					
<b>Valuations</b>					
Maintenance tax rates	\$ 0.02100	\$ 0.02200	\$ 0.01500	\$ 0.01900	\$ 0.01880
<b>Total Tax Rate per</b>					
<b>\$100 Valuation</b>	<u>\$ 0.02100</u>	<u>\$ 0.02200</u>	<u>\$ 0.01500</u>	<u>\$ 0.01900</u>	<u>\$ 0.01880</u>
<b>Original Tax Levy</b>	<u>\$ 11,070,507</u>	<u>\$ 9,899,965</u>	<u>\$ 6,215,746</u>	<u>\$ 7,401,982</u>	<u>\$ 7,067,974</u>

<b>Percent of Taxes Collected</b>					
<b>to Taxes Levied</b>	<u>99.38%</u>	<u>99.73%</u>	<u>99.81%</u>	<u>99.84%</u>	<u>99.85%</u>

Maximum Tax Rate Approved by Voters: \$ 0.25 on 6/25/49

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES -**  
**GENERAL FUND**  
*Last Five Fiscal Years*

	<b>Amounts</b>				
	<b>2016</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
<b>Revenues:</b>					
Property taxes	\$11,461,905	\$10,531,116	\$ 6,568,993	\$ 7,744,811	\$ 7,379,908
Investment income	66,935	24,294	20,266	26,841	96,789
Impact fees	108,334	461,343	11,348	937,129	669,106
Intergovernmental revenues		1,150,000	40,728		
Miscellaneous	111,261	58,662	86,194	41,273	603,681
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<u>11,748,435</u>	<u>12,225,415</u>	<u>6,727,529</u>	<u>8,750,054</u>	<u>8,749,484</u>
<b>Expenditures:</b>					
Current	7,940,342	7,513,211	7,519,958	6,676,920	6,882,253
Capital outlay	1,642,182	958,286	950,690	3,307,468	965,274
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<u>9,582,524</u>	<u>8,471,497</u>	<u>8,470,648</u>	<u>9,984,388</u>	<u>7,847,527</u>
<b>Excess (Deficiency) Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures</b>	<u>\$ 2,165,911</u>	<u>\$ 3,753,918</u>	<u>\$ (1,743,119)</u>	<u>\$ (1,234,334)</u>	<u>\$ 901,957</u>
<b>Total Active Retail Water Connections</b>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>
<b>Total Active Retail Wastewater Connections</b>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>

<b>Percent of Total Fund Revenues</b>				
<b>2016</b>	<b>2015</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2012</b>
97.6 %	86.1 %	97.6 %	88.5 %	84.3 %
0.6	0.2	0.3	0.3	1.2
0.9	3.8	0.2	10.7	7.6
	9.4	0.6		
0.9	0.5	1.3	0.5	6.9
<u>100.0 %</u>	<u>100.0 %</u>	<u>100.0 %</u>	<u>100.0 %</u>	<u>100.0 %</u>
67.6	61.5	111.8	76.3	78.7
14.0	7.8	14.1	37.8	11.0
<u>81.6 %</u>	<u>69.3 %</u>	<u>125.9 %</u>	<u>114.1 %</u>	<u>89.7 %</u>
<u>18.4 %</u>	<u>30.7 %</u>	<u>(25.9) %</u>	<u>(14.1) %</u>	<u>10.3 %</u>

**FORT BEND COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT**  
**BOARD MEMBERS, KEY PERSONNEL, AND CONSULTANTS**  
*For the Year Ended September 30, 2016*

TSI-8

Complete District Mailing Address: 1004 Blume Road, PO Box 1028, Rosenberg, TX 77471  
 District Business Telephone Number: (281) 342-2863  
 Submission date of most recent District Registration Form  
 (TWC Sections 36.054 and 49.054): 5/2/11  
 Limit on Fees of Office that a Director may receive during a fiscal year: \$7,200  
 (Set by Board Resolution - TWC Section 49.6000)

<u>Names:</u>	<u>Term of Office (Elected or Appointed) or Date Hired</u>	<u>Fees of Office Paid * 09/30/16</u>	<u>Expense Reimburse- ments 09/30/16</u>	<u>Title at Year-end</u>
<b><u>Board Members:</u></b>				
Robert Hebert	(Elected) 1/15 - 12/18	\$ 2,400	\$	Chairman
Richard Morrison	(Elected) 1/13 - 12/16	2,400		Board Member
Grady Prestage	(Elected) 1/15 - 12/18	2,400		Board Member
Andy Meyers	(Elected) 1/13 - 12/16	2,400		Board Member
James Patterson	(Elected) 1/15 - 12/18	2,400		Board Member
<b><u>Key Administrative Personnel:</u></b>				
Mark Vogler	1/1/07	\$ 121,526	\$ 3,024	Drainage District Manager/ Chief Engineer
<b><u>Consultants:</u></b>				
Cobb, Fendley, and Associates		\$ 13,605		Engineering Design
LJA Engineering and Surveying		17,266		Engineering Design
Pape-Dawson Engineers		127,754		Engineer
Allen Boone Humphries		7,588		Legal
Wetland Technologies		48,729		Environmental Consultant
Costello, Inc.		167,201		Engineer
Freese & Nichols, Inc.		49,991		Engineer
Carroll & Blackman, Inc.		7,267		Engineer
Fort Bend County Appraisal District		71,730		Tax Appraiser
Whitley Penn, L.L.P.		28,900		Independent Auditor

\* *Fees of Office* are the amounts actually paid to a Director during the District's fiscal year.

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL  
REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT  
OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH  
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS**

To the Board of Directors  
Fort Bend County Drainage District  
Fort Bend County, Texas

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities and each major fund of Fort Bend Drainage District (the “District”), a component unit of Fort Bend County, Texas, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 29, 2017.

**Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District’s internal control.

*A deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

To the Board of Directors  
Fort Bend County Drainage District  
Fort Bend County, Texas

### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Whitley Penn LLP". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Houston, Texas  
March 29, 2017